

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Imhof**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,531,554 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Jan. 7, 2020**

(54) **GRATING MAGNETO OPTICAL TRAP**  
(71) Applicant: **Utah State University Research Foundation**, North Logan, UT (US)  
(72) Inventor: **Eric Imhof**, Calabasas, CA (US)  
(73) Assignee: **Utah State University Space Dynamics Laboratory**, North Logan, UT (US)

(\* ) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **16/290,521**  
(22) Filed: **Mar. 1, 2019**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**  
US 2019/0200445 A1 Jun. 27, 2019

**Related U.S. Application Data**  
(62) Division of application No. 15/431,492, filed on Feb. 13, 2017, now Pat. No. 10,278,275.  
(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**H05H 3/00** (2006.01)  
**H05H 3/04** (2006.01)  
**H05H 3/02** (2006.01)  
**G02B 27/42** (2006.01)  
**G21K 1/00** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **H05H 3/04** (2013.01); **G02B 27/4233** (2013.01); **G21K 1/006** (2013.01); **H05H 3/02** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
CPC ..... G21K 1/006; G21K 1/00; G04F 13/02; H05H 3/02  
See application file for complete search history.


(56) **References Cited**  
**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**  
7,816,643 B2 \* 10/2010 Hyodo ..... H05H 3/04 250/251  
8,405,021 B2 3/2013 Anderson et al.  
(Continued)  
**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**  
GB 2558574 A \* 7/2018 ..... G02B 5/1861  
**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

McGilligan, James P., et al. "Phase-space properties of magneto-optical traps utilising micro-fabricated gratings." Optics express 23.7 (2015): 8948-8959. (Year: 2015).\*  
(Continued)

*Primary Examiner* — Wyatt A Stoffa

(57) **ABSTRACT**  
A three-dimensional magneto-optical trap (3D GMOT) configured to trap a cold-atom cloud is disclosed. The 3D GMOT includes a single input light beam having its direction along a first axis, an area along a second and third axis that are both normal to the first axis, and a substantially flat input light beam intensity profile extending across its area. The 3D GMOT may also include a circular, diffraction-grating surface positioned normal to the first axis and having closely adjacent grooves arranged concentrically around a gap formed in its center. The circular, diffraction-grating surface is configured to diffract first-order light beams that intersect within an intersection region that lies directly above the gap and suppresses reflections and diffractions of all other orders. The 3D GMOT may further include a quadrupole magnetic field with its magnitude being zero within the intersection region.

**4 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets**



**Related U.S. Application Data**

(60) Provisional application No. 62/294,454, filed on Feb. 12, 2016.

**References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

8,835,833 B2 *	9/2014	Du .....	G21K 1/006 250/251
8,921,764 B2	12/2014	Loftus et al.	
9,117,563 B2	8/2015	Hughes et al.	
2010/0200739 A1 *	8/2010	Anderson .....	G21K 1/006 250/251
2010/0320995 A1	12/2010	David	
2011/0290991 A1 *	12/2011	Booth .....	C23C 14/221 250/251
2013/0048846 A1	2/2013	Du et al.	
2015/0200029 A1	7/2015	Hughes et al.	
2017/0359888 A1	12/2017	Imhof	
2018/0233337 A1 *	8/2018	Hughes .....	H01J 41/16

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Imhof, Eric, Two-Dimensional Grating Magneto-Optical Trap in 87Rb, Presentation at the Air Force Research Laboratory, Sep. 16, 2016, Kirtland Air Force Base, Albuquerque, NM.

Nshii, et al., A surface-patterned chip as a strong source of ultra-cold atoms for quantum technologies, Nature Nanotechnology 8, 321-324, Apr. 7, 2013.

Pollock, et al., Characteristics of integrated magneto-optical traps for atom chips, New Journal of Physics 13 (2011) 043029, Apr. 19, 2011.

Vangeleyn, Matthieu, Atom trapping in non-trivial geometries for micro-fabrication applications, PhD Dissertation, University of Strathclyde, 2011, U.K.

Imhof, Eric A., Development of Compact, Deployable Sensors Using Cold Atom Interference, PhD Dissertation, Air Force Institute of Technology, Jun. 15, 2017, Air Force Institute of Technology, U.S.

Imhof, et al., Two-Dimensional Grating Magneto-Optical Trap, Mar. 23, 2017, Atomic Physics, Cornell University Library, U.S.

Nshii, C. C., et al. "A surface-patterned chip as a strong source of ultracold atoms for quantum technologies." Nature nanotechnology 8.5 (2013): 321.

Lu, Z. T., et al. "Low-velocity intense source of atoms from a magneto-optical trap." Physical review letters 77.16 (1996): 3331.

Lee, J., et al. "Sub-Doppler cooling of neutral atoms in a grating magneto-optical trap." JOSA B 30.11 (2013): 2869-2874.

Vangeleyn, Matthieu, et al. "Laser cooling with a single laser beam and a planar diffractor." Optics letters 35.20 (2010): 3453-3455.

\* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6






FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10

**GRATING MAGNETO OPTICAL TRAP****CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application claims priority to Unites States Non-provisional application Ser. No. 15/431,492, entitled "Grating Magneto Optical Trap," filed Feb. 13, 2017 for Eric Imhof, which claimed priority to Unites States Provisional Application Ser. No. 62/294,454, also entitled "Grating Magneto Optical Trap," filed Feb. 12, 2016 for Eric Imhof, both of which are incorporated herein by reference.

**GOVERNMENT SPONSORED RESEARCH**

This invention was made with government support under contract HQ0147-11-D-0052 awarded by the Air Force Research Laboratory. The government has certain rights in the invention.

**TECHNICAL FIELD**

The present disclosure relates to magneto optical traps.

**BACKGROUND**

A magneto optical trap (MOT) is the primary method by which dilute gasses of atoms and molecules are taken from room temperature to the sub-Kelvin range. It is the first step in many experiments and technologies related to high-accuracy atomic clocks, cold atom gyroscopes and accelerometers used in inertial navigation devices, magnetic field sensors, quantum computing, and gravimeters used to detect underground tunnels, aquifers, or other underground natural resources.

A MOT uses laser beams and magnetic fields to collect a high density of atoms with low kinetic energy. For example, a three-dimensional MOT can collect a small cloud, approximately 4 mm across, of super-cooled atoms where the average speed of an atom in the MOT is on the order of 0.1 meters per second. This is compared to atoms at room temperature moving at hundreds of meters per second.

Prior methods of creating three-dimensional MOTs used six counter-propagating light beams pointed along the cardinal axes towards a common intersection to capture cold atoms. See, for example, Matthieu Vangeleyn's PhD thesis at the University of Strathclyde, entitled "Atom trapping in non-trivial geometries for micro-fabrication applications." Another method replaces two of the six beams with mirrors. Still another method uses a single laser with a corner-cube reflector or reflecting right cone to capture atoms within the reflector.

**SUMMARY**

The inventor of the present disclosure has identified that present methods for creating magneto optical traps (MOT or MOTs) severely restrict optical access to the experimental chamber containing the cold atom cloud. Using current methods, lines of sight into the experimental chamber are blocked by input light beams or reflectors, leaving little room for imaging cameras, magnetic field sources, experimental lasers, or other methods of experimentally manipulating the cold atom cloud. Prior methods are also limited in their ability to quickly load a MOT with a high number of cold atoms necessary to perform cold-atom applications described above.

The present disclosure in aspects and embodiments addresses these various needs and problems by providing a unique grating magneto optical trap (GMOT). Both a two-dimensional (2D) and a three-dimensional (3D) GMOT are described. In embodiments, a 2D GMOT can provide a stream of cold atoms that can be captured in a 3D GMOT above a planar surface, loading the 3D GMOT much more quickly and enabling the experimenter to interact from all sides without obstruction. Additionally, the GMOT requires less laser power as compared to other MOTs. Also, most of the design requirements for a working MOT are satisfied through the design of the grating, alleviating many concerns about alignment, cost, size, and reproducibility. Finally, experimental results of the GMOT show a high-atom number and the ability to perform sub-Doppler cooling.

The benefits of using gratings apply equally well to a 3D GMOT as a 2D GMOT. If loaded by a cold atom beam from a 2D GMOT, a 3D GMOT is a compelling source for cold atom experiments. The atomic beam from a 2D GMOT enables higher atom number and loading rates in the 3D GMOT by separating the source vapor from the experimental region.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

FIG. 1 illustrates the input, reflected, and diffracted light beams of a two-dimensional magneto optical trap (2D GMOT);

FIG. 2 illustrates the quadrupole magnetic field of a 2D GMOT;

FIG. 3 illustrates the input, reflected, and diffracted light beams of another 2D GMOT;

FIG. 4 illustrates a directed input light beam and the corresponding reflected, and diffracted light beams of a 2D GMOT;

FIG. 5 illustrates the input, reflected, and diffracted light beams of a three-dimensional magneto optical trap (3D GMOT);

FIG. 6 illustrates a portion of the quadrupole magnetic field of a 3D GMOT;

FIG. 7 illustrates another 3D GMOT;

FIG. 8 illustrates a 2D GMOT providing a stream of atoms to a 3D GMOT; and

FIGS. 9 and 10 illustrate black and white photographs illustrating the actual results of a 2D GMOT and a 3D GMOT, respectively.

**DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

The present disclosure covers apparatuses and associated methods for grating magneto optical traps (GMOTs). In the following description, numerous specific details are provided for a thorough understanding of specific preferred embodiments. However, those skilled in the art will recognize that embodiments can be practiced without one or more of the specific details, or with other methods, components, materials, etc. In some cases, well-known structures, materials, or operations are not shown or described in detail in order to avoid obscuring aspects of the preferred embodiments. Furthermore, the described features, structures, or characteristics may be combined in any suitable manner in a variety of alternative embodiments. Thus, the following more detailed description of the embodiments of the present invention, as illustrated in some aspects in the drawings, is not intended to limit the scope of the invention, but is merely representative of the various embodiments of the invention.

In this specification and the claims that follow, singular forms such as “a,” “an,” and “the” include plural forms unless the content clearly dictates otherwise. All ranges disclosed herein include, unless specifically indicated, all endpoints and intermediate values. In addition, “optional,” “optionally,” or “or” refer, for example, to instances in which subsequently described circumstance may or may not occur, and include instances in which the circumstance occurs and instances in which the circumstance does not occur. The terms “one or more” and “at least one” refer, for example, to instances in which one of the subsequently described circumstances occurs, and to instances in which more than one of the subsequently described circumstances occurs.

FIG. 1 illustrates light beams and a diffraction grating of a 2D GMOT **100**. In embodiments, a single input light beam **10** is directed along a first axis, in this figure labeled the z-axis. Input light beam **10** has a width **10c** along a second axis, in this figure labeled the x-axis. Input light beam **10** also has an ideal intensity profile **10a** distributed across the input light beam width **10c**. In practice, input light beam’s intensity profile is more like the Gaussian-shaped intensity profile **10b**. The intensity profile **10a** or **10b** is intentionally made to be substantially flat or uniform across the width **10**. In this disclosure, a substantially flat or uniform intensity profile means that the intensity of one part of input light beam **10** is substantially equal to another part of input light beam **10** across the effective width **10c** of the light beam **10**.

2D GMOT **100** also includes a diffraction grating **2** with a diffraction grating surface **2a**. The diffraction-grating surface **2a** is positioned normal to the first axis, or normal to the incident, input light beam **10**. The diffraction-grating surface is also comprised of closely adjacent parallel grooves **2b** spread across the width **10c** of input light beam **10**. In this embodiment, the closely adjacent parallel grooves **2b** run parallel to the third axis. The third axis is normal to both the first and second axes, labeled the y-axis in the figures.

The diffraction grating surface **2a** reflects zeroth order light beams **12b** and diffracts first-order and other order light beams **12a** and **12c**, respectively. In embodiments, the diffraction grating surface **2a** diffracts first-order light beams that intersect within an intersection plane **20** that lies within a plane defined by the first and second axis. In this configuration, the input light beam **10** also intersects with the first-order light beams **12a** at the intersection plane **20**.

The cooling of atoms in a magneto-optical trap occurs through Doppler cooling. Opposing beams of light with the correct frequency and polarization may strike an atom such that the atom absorbs a photon and receives a small push in the direction of the striking light beam.

In embodiments, the relative light intensities of the input light beam **10** and the first-order diffracted light beams **12a** are configured to provide the necessary forces to help push atoms towards the center of the intersection plane **20**. In other words, for a trap to form, the sum of the forces on the atoms should be approximately zero. Accordingly,  $I_{up} = I_1/n \cos \theta$ , where  $I_{up}$  is the upward intensity from the diffracted first-order light beams **12a**,  $I_1$  is the intensity of the single input light beam **10**,  $n$  is the number of diffracted first-order light beams **12a** (in the case of this 2D GMOT embodiment,  $n$  equals two), and  $\theta$  is the angle of the diffracted first-order light beams **12a** (in the case of this 2D GMOT embodiment,  $\theta$  equals 45). Additionally, the diffracted first order light beams **12a** are spatially compressed, meaning their intensity is greater within a smaller area, by a factor of  $\cos \theta$ . Thus, in the case of the 2D GMOT described herein,  $I_{up} = I_1/2$ , or

the diffracted first order light beams **12a** should have an intensity that is roughly 50% of the single input light beam **10**.

In addition, in this embodiment, the diffraction grating surface **2a** suppresses reflections **12b** and diffractions of all other order light beams **12c**. As such, the inventor of the present disclosure has found that small deviations, on the order of +/-10%, in the intensity ratio (between the diffracted first order light beams **12a** and the single input light beam **10**) still produces a trap but moves the location of the trap with respect to the magnetic field zero. Thus, a trap may still be formed when the diffracted first-order light beams’ intensity is between roughly 40 and 60% of the incoming light beam’s intensity. The reflection suppression by the diffraction grating surface **2a** and the intensity matching of the incoming light beam **10** and first-order diffracted light beams **12a** provide a combined force that helps push atoms towards the center of the magneto-optical trap **100** or the center of the intersection plane **20**.

Doppler cooling alone will slow the motion of an atom but it will not reverse an atom’s direction of travel or, in the case of a magneto-optical trap **100**, collect cold atoms at the center of the intersection plane **20**. Once an atom stops moving, it sees no Doppler shift and will no longer absorb photons from the input light beam **10** or the first-order diffracted light beams **12a**. The presence of a magnetic field is necessary to trap atoms at the center of the intersection plane **20**.

Magneto-optical trap **100** further comprises a quadrupole magnetic field. For clarity purposes, the quadrupole magnetic field that is part of the magneto-optical trap **100** is not shown in FIG. 1 but is shown in FIG. 2 with its position relative to the intersection plane **20**. A magnetic field at any given point may be specified as having both a direction and a magnitude. However, if the magnitude is zero at a given point, the direction is also zero. FIG. 2 shows the quadrupole magnetic field **40** with its force and direction being zero at the center of the intersection plane **20**. In this embodiment, the quadrupole magnetic field **40** has a magnitude of zero along the third axis and is centered at the center of the input light beam’s width **10c**, or at the center of the intersection plane **20**.

FIG. 3 illustrates another embodiment of a magneto-optical trap **200**. In this embodiment, the diffraction-grating surface is comprised of two diffraction grating surfaces **4a** and **4b** separated by a gap **4c**, which is formed by the separation between the diffraction grating surfaces **4a** and **4b**. The gap **4c** extends parallel to the third axis and is centered, relative to the second axis, at the center of the intersection plane **20**.

The diffraction grating surfaces **4a** and **4b** in 2d GMOT trap **200** need not suppress reflections and diffractions of all other orders because of the gap **4c** between the surfaces **4a** and **4b**. In this embodiment, input light beam **10** is not reflected back into the intersection plane **20** but instead passes through the gap **4c**. Instead, this embodiment may use a less expensive or lower quality diffraction grating while achieving the same atom trapping results.

The 2D GMOTs **100** and **200** do not constrain atom movement along the third axis. As such, in embodiments, a magneto optical trap such as magneto optical trap **100** or **200** provides a stream of cooled atoms or an atom beam **16** flowing along the third axis that may feed into a three-dimensional magneto-optical trap (3D GMOT).

FIG. 4 illustrates a side-view of another magneto optical trap **300**. In this embodiment, the input light beam **10** has a vector component **10y** that is parallel to the third axis. Vector

component **10y** helps produce a stream of cooled atoms or an atom beam **16** flowing in the same direction as the vector component **10y**.

The vector component **10y** points opposite the atom beam **16** direction to provide Doppler cooling along the beam **16**. This may be done by having a beam opposite along **10y** with a mirror reflecting the beam back onto the atom beam (i.e. the mirror, not shown, would be on the far right of the figure). The mirror would have a small hole in it through which the atom beam **16** could pass. Alternatively, in another embodiment, there is no mirror but just an angled beam **10** such that vector **10y** is opposite the atom beam **16**.

FIG. **5** illustrates a 3D GMOT **400**. Like the 2D GMOTs **100** and **200**, 3D GMOT **400** includes an input light beam **10** directed along a first axis, labeled the z-axis in FIG. **5**. Input light beam **10** has an area **10d** extending in a second and third axis, the second and third axes are perpendicular to the first axis and labeled as the x and y-axis in FIG. **5**. Input light beam **10** in FIG. **5** might have a similar intensity profile across the area **10d** as the intensity profile **10a** described in relation to 2D GMOTs **100** and **200** illustrated in FIGS. **1** and **3**.

FIG. **5** further illustrates diffraction gratings **6a**, **6b**, **6c**, and **6d** with their respective diffraction grating surfaces. The diffraction grating surfaces of **6a**, **6b**, **6c**, and **6d** are comprised of closely adjacent parallel grooves (not shown) that run substantially parallel to their longest outside edge of their respective diffraction grating surface. In other words, the adjacent parallel grooves of diffraction gratings **6a** and **6c** run along the x-axis and the adjacent parallel grooves of diffraction gratings **6b** and **6d** run along the y-axis, as illustrated in FIG. **5**.

Diffraction gratings **6a**, **6b**, **6c**, and **6d** are combined to form a gap **6e** at the center of the diffraction gratings **6a**, **6b**, **6c**, and **6d**. The gap **6e** prevents the reflection of zeroth order light beams (not shown) directly above the gap (along the z-axis).

As in the 2D GMOTs **100**, **200**, and **300**, the cooling of atoms in the 3D GMOT **400** occurs through Doppler cooling. Opposing beams of light with the correct frequency and polarization may strike an atom such that the atom absorbs a photon and receives a small push in the direction of the striking light beam.

Diffraction gratings **6a**, **6b**, **6c**, and **6d** diffract first-order light beams **12a**. In embodiments, the diffracted first-order light beams **12a** and the single input light beam **10** intersect at an intersection region **22** above the gap **6e** formed between the surfaces of the diffraction gratings **6a**, **6b**, **6c**, and **6d**. A cold atom cloud **18** forms within the intersection region **22**.

As in the case of the 2D GMOT described above, with respect to the 3D GMOT, the relative light intensities of the input light beam **10** and the first-order diffracted light beams **12a** are configured to provide the necessary forces to help push atoms towards the center of the intersection region **22**. In other words, for a trap to form, the sum of the forces on the atoms should be approximately zero. Accordingly,  $I_{up}=I_1/n \cos \theta$ , where  $I_{up}$  is the upward force from the diffracted first-order light beams **12a**,  $I_1$  is the force exerted by the single input light beam **10**,  $n$  is the number of diffracted first-order light beams **12a** (in the case of this 3D GMOT embodiment,  $n$  equals four, since there are four diffraction grating surfaces), and  $\theta$  is the angle of the diffracted first-order light beams **12a** (in the case of this 3D GMOT embodiment,  $\theta$  equals 45). Additionally, the diffracted first order light beams **12a** are spatially compressed, meaning their intensity is greater within a shorter area, by a

factor of  $\cos \theta$ . Thus, in the case of the 3D GMOT described herein,  $I_{up}=I_1/4$ , or the diffracted first order light beams **12a** should have an intensity that is roughly 25% of the single input light beam **10**.

In addition, in this embodiment, the diffraction grating surface **2a** suppresses reflections **12b** and diffractions of all other order light beams **12c**. As such, the inventor of the present disclosure has found that small deviations, on the order of +/-10%, in the intensity ratio (between the diffracted first order light beams **12a** and the single input light beam **10**) still produce a trap but move the location of the trap with respect to the magnetic field zero. Thus, a trap may still be formed when the diffracted first-order light beams' intensity is between roughly 15 and 35% of the incoming light beam's intensity. The reflection suppression by the diffraction grating surface **2a** and the intensity matching of the incoming light beam **10** and first-order diffracted light beams **12a** provide a combined force that helps push atoms towards the center of the magneto-optical trap **400** or the center of the intersection region **22**.

3D GMOT **400** further comprises a quadrupole magnetic field. For clarity purposes, the quadrupole magnetic field that is part of the magneto-optical trap **400** is not shown in FIG. **5** but a portion of it is shown in FIG. **6**, with its position relative to the intersection region **22** and the diffraction gratings **6a**, **6b**, **6c**, and **6d**. A magnetic field at any given point may be specified as having both a direction and a magnitude. However, if the magnitude is zero at a given point, the direction is also zero. For clarity purposes, FIG. **6** does not show all the field vectors of the quadrupole magnetic field **40**. However, the force and direction of quadrupole magnetic field **40** are zero at the center of the intersection region **20**, or the center **42** of the quadrupole magnetic field **40** is zero.

In other embodiments, FIG. **7** illustrates 3D GMOT **500** with a circular diffraction grating **7**, diffraction grating surface **7a**, and a hole **7b** formed in the center of the diffraction grating **7**. Diffraction grating surface **7a** comprises closely concentric circular grooves.

Like previous GMOTs disclosed herein, 3D GMOT **500** comprises a single input light beam **10** directed along a first axis, labeled the z-axis in FIG. **7**. Input light beam **10** has an area **10d** extending in a second and third axis, the second and third axis being perpendicular to the first axis and labeled as the x and y-axis in FIG. **7**. Input light beam **10** in FIG. **7** might have a similar intensity profile across the area **10c** as the intensity profile described in relation to FIGS. **1**, **3**, and **5**.

Diffraction grating **7** forms a hole in its center. The hole **7** prevents the reflection of zeroth order light beams (not shown) directly above the gap (along the z-axis).

Diffraction grating **7** diffracts first-order light beams **12a**. In embodiments, the diffracted first-order light beams **12a** and the input light beam **10** intersect at an intersection region **22** above the hole **7** formed at the center of diffraction grating **7**. A cold atom cloud **18** forms within the intersection region **22**.

Similar to 3D GMOT **400**, 3D GMOT **500** comprises a quadrupole magnetic field that is not shown, however, its description is similar to that described in relation to quadrupole magnetic field **40** illustrated in FIG. **6**.

FIG. **8** illustrates a 2D GMOT, such as 2D GMOTs **100**, **200**, or **300**, providing a stream of atoms **16** that is captured by a 3D GMOT, such as 3D GMOT **400** or **500**. FIG. **8** further illustrates a single input light beam **10** for each of the 2D and 3D GMOTs. As can be seen in FIG. **8**, the 2D and 3D GMOTs are configured to enable an experimenter to

interact from all sides of the GMOTs without obstruction from other input light sources or other lab equipment necessary to form an atomic beam or an atomic cloud. Additionally, the GMOT configurations illustrated in FIG. 8 require less laser power as compared to other MOTs that have multiple input light beams.

In FIG. 8, the 2D GMOT 100, 200, or 300 resides in vacuum cell 30 and the 3D GMOT 400 or 500 resides in a second vacuum cell 32. The 2D GMOT 100, 200, or 300 may be capped by a silicon reflector with a pinhole (not shown). The atom beam 16 travels through the pinhole from the 2D GMOT 100, 200, or 300 to the 3D GMOT 400 or 500. The atomic beam 16 from the 2D GMOT 100, 200, or 300 enables higher atom number capture and loading rates in the 3D GMOT 400 or 500 by separating the source vapor (in vacuum cell 30) from the experimental region (in vacuum cell 32). Separating the source vapor from the experimental region in this configuration further enables greater access by an experimenter to the experimental region.

FIGS. 9 and 10 are black and white photographs illustrating the actual results of a 2D and 3D GMOTs, respectively. FIG. 9 shows a 2D GMOT producing an atom beam (shown as the white region). FIG. 10 shows a 3D GMOT producing an atom cloud (also shown as a white region or cloud).

The following examples are illustrative only and are not intended to limit the disclosure in any way.

#### Examples

The inventor of the present disclosure built functional two-dimensional and three-dimensional grating magneto-optical trap (2D and 3D GMOTs). This included a vacuum chamber of bonded anti-reflection coated borosilicate glass. The six-sided, rectangular chamber measured 89×32×35 mm, with a large hole cut into one of the 32×35 mm faces which was bonded to a vacuum pumping system. One of the 89×32 mm faces of the chamber was a 1 mm thick sapphire wafer. The evacuated chamber operated at pressures low as  $10^{-9}$  Torr, or lower.

The relatively high (45%) efficiency requirements of the 2D GMOT preclude many grating types. Any grating without a preferred direction would have to diffract practically all input into the +/- first orders.

Non-direction etched gratings have been fabricated to this standard, albeit with a high input of design time and fabrication cost. Such gratings often require e-beam lithography for small ( $\approx 500$  nm) feature sizes. E-beam lithography for large area gratings monopolizes clean-room facilities making them prohibitively expensive.

Replicated blazed gratings are inexpensive, but design choices are confined to commercially produced masks. Additionally, these gratings are not designed to minimize reflections, which can undermine trap performance by producing an additional beam with a typically anti-trapping polarization. GMOT designs with blazed gratings have gaps between gratings along the central axis to allow light to pass (as shown in FIGS. 3, 5, and 6).

The inventor obtained two 45×12 mm, 18-degree blazed diffraction gratings, with parallel grooves along the long axis at 900 grooves/mm and 1000 nm-blaze wavelength, with equal linear polarization efficiencies near 60%. The equal linear polarization resulted in a circularly polarized diffracted beam. The inventor placed the grating surfaces against the glass surface opposite the sapphire wafer. The gratings were aligned parallel to the 89-mm axis of the

vacuum chamber and separated from each other by 5 mm. The blazes were oriented towards the gap separating the gratings.

The inventor then dispensed  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  atoms into the chamber. A laser and amplifier system produced coherent light at 780.246 nm wavelength, while a separate laser produced coherent light at 780.232 nm wavelength. The two beams were combined, linearly polarized, and input into a common polarization-maintaining optical fiber. The fiber output 70 mW of optical power at 780.236 nm and 12 mW at 780.232 nm. The light was expanded with two-inch optics and circularly polarized before being directed through the sapphire window, into the chamber, and towards the gratings.

The light diffracted off the gratings at an angle of 44.5 degrees. The intensity of the light impinging on the grating was diffracted into the blaze-preferred first order with an overall efficiency near 60%. The diffracted light was mostly of the opposite circular polarization as the input beam. A region of space was formed inside the vacuum chamber in which the input and preferred first order beams overlapped. The cross-sectional area of this region was approximately  $6 \text{ mm}^2$ .

A two-dimensional quadrupole magnetic field was generated using four 2×0.125×0.25 inch permanent magnets arranged at the corners of the vacuum chamber. The two-inch magnet axes were parallel to the 89-mm axis of the chamber. The main axis of the field was directed along the direction of the input light beam. The location where the magnetic field was zero was set within the overlapping area of the input and diffracted light beams. The gradient of the field near the zero location was 30 G/cm.

The existence of a 2D-GMOT was verified by observing the atomic fluorescence with a CCD camera imaging the plane of the overlapping beams (as shown in FIG. 8). The high atomic density at the center of the magnetic field was evidenced by a high fluorescence at that location. The high-density region could be moved by displacing the magnetic field's zero region. Additionally, the density could be optimized by shifting the input light's circular polarization. The high-density region disappeared when the 780.232 nm light was removed. These factors are indicative of a magneto-optical trap.

In another experimental setup, the inventor of the present disclosure used two glass vacuum cells separated by a mini-conflat flange. The 2D GMOT was produced in a chamber 30×40×72 mm<sup>3</sup>, which is capped by a silicon reflector with a 1 mm-diameter pinhole. The atom beam traveled through the pinhole, through a second filtering 3 mm pinhole in the copper gasket of the conflat cross. The atoms were then collected on the opposing side of the cross in a 3D GMOT in a chamber that is 25×40×85 mm<sup>3</sup>. All glass walls were anti-reflection coated on both sides at 780 nm.

In this experiment, the inventor located the gratings outside the vacuum chamber. The added optical path through the glass chamber surface modifies the intensity and polarization of the diffracted beams. As a result, the inventor used gratings with 830 grooves/mm for 800 nm wavelength. A normally incident, circularly polarized beam input beam diffraction through the chamber wall will have 64% of the original intensity and be 90% polarized with the opposite handedness.

In this same experiment, for the 2D GMOT, the inventor used two 17.5×38 mm<sup>2</sup> rectangular gratings with their blazes facing towards their common axis, separated by a 5-mm gap. For the 3D GMOT, the inventor used four trapezoidal gratings such that when combined they produced a 38×38

mm<sup>2</sup> square with a 4×4 mm<sup>2</sup> gap at its center (as illustrated in FIG. 5). Again, all the blazes point towards the central axis. However, the 3D GMOT requires an efficiency closer to 25%. To reduce the diffracted beam power, a 0.1 ND filter was placed between the gratings and the vacuum chamber wall.

A single laser beam was input into each chamber. Each beam carried 11.0 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> light at the cooling (detuned  $5^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow 5^2S_{3/2}$ ,  $F=2 \rightarrow 3$ ,  $\Delta=-1.3 \Gamma$ ) transition and 3.8 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> ( $F=1 \rightarrow 2$ ) at the repump transition for <sup>87</sup>Rb. The light was emitted from a single mode, polarization-maintaining fiber and expanded through a negative lens. A wide-angle quarter wave plate provides circular polarization to the expanding beam, which is then reflected from a two-inch mirror and collimated with a 100-mm focal length lens.

A “push” beam was directed along the 2D GMOT axis to provide enhanced cooling, using 3.3 mW of cooling light in a beam with a 4-mm waist. The beam was retro-reflected using a silicon mirror.

The 2D GMOT magnetic fields were provided by four permanent neodymium magnets arranged on cage rods outside the chamber. They were positioned via a three-axis translation stage and a tip-tilt mirror mount to aid alignment of the 2D GMOT with the silicon pinhole. They provided an extended quadrupole field with a 20 G/cm gradient.

The 3D GMOT magnetic fields were produced by an anti-Helmholtz coil pair, centered by the cage rods that aligned the 3D GMOT optics. Running a 1.2 A current, they provided a gradient of 10 G/cm in the axial direction.

The system was evacuated to a pressure of  $2 \times 10^{-9}$  Torr, measured using a residual gas analyzer.

The 3D GMOT fluorescence was monitored using a photodiode from Thorlabs (PDA100A). Light from the GMOT was collected using a  $f=25.4$  mm lens positioned  $2f$  from the trap and the sensor surface.

Pulsing the 3D GMOT’s magnetic field off and on produced a rising fluorescence signal proportional to the number of captured atoms. By monitoring the 3D GMOT fluorescence as a function of time, the 2D GMOT beam could be characterized. An 8-mW “plug” laser beam was positioned just after the exit pinhole. The beam acted to misalign the atomic beam from the 2D GMOT, which reduced the capture rate of the atoms. When the plug beam was turned off for a short period, the 3D GMOT would grow as atoms traversed the distance from the exit pinhole to the 3D GMOT atomic cloud.

It will be appreciated that various of the above-disclosed and other features and functions, or alternatives thereof, may be desirably combined into many other different systems or applications. Also, various presently unforeseen or unanticipated alternatives, modifications, variations or improvements therein may be subsequently made by those skilled in the art, and are also intended to be encompassed by the following claims.

I claim:

1. A three-dimensional grating magneto optical trap (3D GMOT) comprising:

a single input light beam having its direction along a first axis, an area along a second and third axis that are both normal to the first axis, and a substantially flat input light beam intensity profile extending across its area;  
a circular, diffraction-grating surface positioned normal to the first axis and extending along the second and third axis; the circular, diffraction-grating surface having closely adjacent grooves arranged concentrically around a gap formed in the center of the circular, diffraction-grating surface;

the circular, diffraction-grating surface configured to:  
diffract first-order light beams that intersect within an intersection region that lies directly above the gap,  
and

suppresses reflections and diffractions of all other orders; and

a quadrupole magnetic field with its magnitude being zero within the intersection region;  
wherein the 3D GMOT is configured to trap a cold-atom cloud within the intersection region.

2. The 3D GMOT of claim 1, wherein each of the diffracted first-order light beam’s intensity is between 15 and 35% of the input light beam’s intensity.

3. A method for trapping a cold-atom cloud, the method comprising providing a three-dimensional grating magneto optical trap (3D GMOT), comprising:

providing a first, single input light beam having its direction along a first axis, an area along a second and third axis that are both normal to the first axis, and a substantially flat input light beam intensity profile extending across its area;

providing a circular, diffraction-grating surface positioned normal to the first axis and extending along the second and third axis; the circular, diffraction-grating surface having closely adjacent grooves arranged concentrically around a gap formed in the center of the circular, diffraction-grating surface;

the circular, diffraction-grating surface configured to:  
diffract first-order light beams that intersect within an intersection region that lies directly above the gap,  
and

suppresses reflections and diffractions of all other orders; and

providing a quadrupole magnetic field with its magnitude being zero within the intersection region;  
wherein the 3D GMOT is configured to trap the cold-atom cloud within the intersection region.

4. The method of claim 3, wherein each of the diffracted first-order light beam’s intensity is between 15 and 35% of the input light beam’s intensity.

\* \* \* \* \*